

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/003,642 | 10/25/2001 | Khoi Hoang | 60595-301801 | 8323 |
| 7590 05/19/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| TAMIZ KHAN PREDIWAVE CORPORATION 48431 MILMONT DRIVE FREMONT, CA 94538 | | | WILLETT, STEPHAN F | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2142 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Ned f Ab d | 10/003,642 | HOANG, KHOI | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Stephan Willett | 2142 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | · | <u> </u> | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | • | |
| ⊠ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic | e letter mailed on 12 July 2005 | | |
| (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Neperiod for reply (including a total extension of time of | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | • | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | , , , , , | , , | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejectio application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 | d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- | |
| (d) 🛛 No reply has been received. | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8) | | the statutory period of three months | |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory particular (PTOL-85). | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the Notice of | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated), which is | |
| (b) \square No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | e the period for seeking court review | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | |
| On May 12, 2006, the examiner's supervisor, Andre left him a voice mail message inquiring as to whether response to the voice mail message was received. | er the applicants had responded t | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | િક્ષણ જ મામ કર્યા છે. જે મહારાજ્ય છે. | OF PATENT EXAMINED | |